



Australian Government Information Security Manual

NOVEMBER 2018

Guidelines for security documentation

Development and management of documentation

System security documentation

Security documentation supports the accurate and consistent application of cyber security policy and procedures. It is important that security documentation is developed by personnel with a good understanding of cyber security matters, the technologies being used and the business requirements of the organisation and the system owner.

As the System Security Plan (SSP), Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and Incident Response Plan (IRP) form a documentation suite for a system, it is essential that they are logically connected and consistent. Furthermore, it is important that security documentation for systems is logically connected to any higher level security documentation frameworks within an organisation.

Security documentation may be presented in a number of formats including dynamic content such as wikis, intranets or other forms of document repositories.

Obtaining approval of a system's security documentation

If security documentation for a system is not approved, personnel will have difficulty ensuring appropriate cyber security policies and procedures are in place. Having approval not only assists in the implementation of policy and procedures, it also ensures system managers are aware of cyber security issues and security risks. As such, it is important that once security documentation has been approved it is published and communicated to all stakeholders.

Security Control: 0047; Revision: 3; Updated: Sep-18; Applicability: O, P, S, TS; Priority: Should
Security documentation for a system is approved by the system's authorising officer.

Documentation maintenance

Threat environments are dynamic. If a system owner fails to keep their system's security documentation up-to-date to reflect the current threat environment, security controls and processes may cease to be effective. In such a situation, resources could be devoted to areas that have reduced effectiveness or are no longer relevant.

Security Control: 0888; Revision: 4; Updated: Sep-18; Applicability: O, P, S, TS; Priority: Should
Security documentation for a system is reviewed at least annually and includes a 'current as at [date]' or equivalent statement.

System-specific documentation

System Security Plan

An SSP describes the system, its system boundary and the security controls that have been implemented. It is developed by selecting relevant security controls from this document based on its classification, functionality and the technologies it is implementing with additional security controls included based on security risks identified during a security risk assessment.

There can be many stakeholders involved in defining a system's SSP. This can include representatives from:

- cyber security teams within the organisation
- project teams who deliver the capability (including contractors)
- support teams who operate and support the capability
- owners of information to be processed, stored or communicated by the system
- users for whom the capability is being developed.

Depending on the documentation framework used, some details common to multiple systems could be consolidated in a higher-level SSP.

Security Control: 0041; Revision: 2; Updated: Sep-18; Applicability: O, P, S, TS; Priority: Must

Systems have a SSP that includes security controls from this document based on its classification, functionality and the technologies it is implementing with additional security controls included based on security risks identified during a security risk assessment.

Standard Operating Procedures

SOPs provide a step-by-step guide to undertaking security related tasks. They provide assurance that tasks can be undertaken in a repeatable manner, even by users without detailed knowledge of a system.

Depending on the documentation framework used, some details common to multiple systems could be consolidated into a higher-level SOP.

Security Control: 0042; Revision: 3; Updated: Sep-18; Applicability: O, P, S, TS; Priority: Should

Systems have SOPs that cover the following:

- *system administration and maintenance activities, such as managing backups and user accounts*
- *software and hardware configuration changes, such as patches, updates and upgrades*
- *the acquisition, support and disposal of assets*
- *the labelling, registering and mustering of assets.*

Incident Response Plan

Having an IRP ensures that when a cyber security incident occurs, a plan is in place to respond appropriately to the situation. In most situations, the aim of the response will be to prevent the cyber security incident from escalating, restore any impacted information or services, and preserve any evidence.

Depending on the documentation framework used, some details common to multiple systems could be consolidated into a higher-level IRP.

Security Control: 0043; Revision: 3; Updated: Sep-18; Applicability: O, P, S, TS; Priority: Must

Systems have an IRP that covers the following:

- *guidelines on what constitutes a cyber security incident*
- *the types of incidents likely to be encountered and the expected response to each type*
- *how to report cyber security incidents, internally to the organisation and externally to the Australian Cyber Security Centre (ACSC)*
- *other parties which need to be informed in the event of a cyber security incident*
- *the authority, or authorities, responsible for investigating and responding to cyber security incidents*
- *the criteria by which an investigation of a cyber security incident would be requested from a law enforcement agency, the ACSC or other relevant authority*
- *the steps necessary to ensure the integrity of evidence relating to a cyber security incident*
- *system contingency measures or a reference to such details if they are located in a separate document.*

Further information

Further information on detecting, managing and reporting cyber security incidents can be found in the ***Guidelines for cyber security incidents***.